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This project is in direct alignment with NASA’s new vision to pursue breakthrough technologies, investigate planetary resources, and align ongoing programs to develop sustainable, affordable, and flexible exploration strategies.

Focus is not about one-time events but in infrastructure development that will result in reduced costs to maintain the affordability of the vision to:

- Pursue Compelling Questions
- Across Multiple Worlds
- Employ Human and Robotic Capabilities
Future Mission Network Evolution

- NASA network supports large numbers of single or constellation spacecraft with IP-addressable instruments
- Mission Operation gradually evolves to a dynamic concept and uses a NASA & commercial assets for communication support; direct-to-ground as well as GEO relay solutions are employed.
- Commercial technology and standard communication protocols are employed (where possible).
What needs to be done to achieve this transition?

• We need to better understand the traffic profiles in this new Network
  ⇒ Look into existing traffic at NASA collection nodes and develop a mathematical/statistical basis for analyzing the changes to the communication network architectures.

• We need to find ways to share bandwidth more efficiently
  ⇒ Develop dynamic solution for sharing bandwidth based on demand, able to support new communication requirements and different QoS.

• We need to make sure this is done securely
  ⇒ Investigate and determine technologies, policies and procedures to ensure the security of this hybrid network
Project Philosophy

- Project addresses the needs of NASA Enterprises directly.
- We focus on basic research that can raise NASA Technology Readiness Levels and try to design a new communication infrastructure that future missions can use for better science return.
- We try, where possible, to work with the aerospace industry, adopt commercial standards and solutions and use available commercial assets (in space or on the ground) so that costs are reduced and we can take advantage of recently deployed technologies and proven solutions from the Internet and wireless industry.
Progress so far

• Defined a sample mission scenario, using the ESE TERRA mission, determined the details of the data generation of the instruments and packetization and queuing on-board.
• Formulated conventional access scheme and scheduling policy for traffic relay through TDRSS supporting several similar spacecraft.
• Developed OPNET Model consisting of spacecraft, TDRSS and NASA Ground Network.
• Investigated packet-based scheduling algorithms for data multiplexing and traffic priority assignment on board a spacecraft.
• Started formulating a dynamic access scheme capable of efficiently sharing transmission bandwidth with other spacecraft, leading to a more dynamic mission operation scenario.
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Security Issues for IP-in-Space

• As spacecraft become accessible network nodes, communication security becomes an issue.
• There is obviously a need to protect space missions from threads that have the potential to disturb normal communication.
• Possible attacks for the traffic traveling from space to ground include:
  • Data confidentiality violation and traffic flow confidentiality violation
  • Modification of messages
  • Masquerade (mimic behavior-IP spoofing)
  • A replay attack
  • A denial of service attack
  • Password sniffing
  • Session hijacking
  • Jamming
Security Measures

Try to eliminate threads by enhancing the system with the following measures:

• **Prevention**
  – **Confidentiality:** Encryption forbids unauthorized users to disclose information
  – **Availability:** Resources must not be denied from authorized users. Access Control prevents unauthorized use of a resource, including the prevention of use of a resource in an unauthorized manner.

• **Detection-Response**
  – **Integrity:** Information cannot be altered and processed by the receiving communicating party. A hash function is applied on the packet and the result is sent for verification to the receiver. Violation results to discard the packet.
  – **Authentication:** Service requesters are the original ones. A secret shared key, an encrypted IP address or a private key can prove requester identity. When the system discovers unauthorized, the connection is ended.
  – **Anti replay:** Avoid processing duplicate packets. The use of counters like sequence number field can identify and discard duplicate packets.
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Conclusions

- Started an innovative study in evaluating alternatives for more efficient and dynamic ways to communicate with future space missions.
- Focus is on an IP-compliant network that consists of several spacecraft missions in near-Earth orbit.
- Modeled traffic scenario and network topology for space-to-ground relay.
- Proposed a dynamic TDMA approach, with early results showing a capability of more efficiently supporting dynamic access and potential for careful performance optimization.
- Started investigating security issues that must be addressed to ensure safe and reliable operation.
**Future Work**

- Continue to develop and optimize a dynamic multi-access protocol that offers both guaranteed and on-demand sharing of the available bandwidth.

- Investigate traffic statistics (provided by NASA) to determine how we can adapt protocol operation to reflect realistic traffic scenarios.

- Investigate candidate designs for future space-to-ground relay solutions, including next TDRS design, direct-to-ground and possible feasibility of using commercial providers and assets.

- Investigate security issues and examine ways to integrate security into solutions during mission design.